



OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT COURT ADMINISTRATOR  
TWENTY-FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Don M. Powers  
District Court Administrator  
dpowers@clintoncountypa.gov

Kayla M. Kahler  
Assistant Court Administrator  
kkahler@clintoncountypa.gov

CLINTON COUNTY COURT HOUSE  
230 East Water Street  
Suite 200  
LOCK HAVEN, PENNSYLVANIA 17745

(570) 893-4016  
(570) 893/4145 (Fax)

**PRESS RELEASE**

January 26, 2022

Pursuant to 42 Pa. C.S.A. § 1503, following the federal decennial census, the Court is required to evaluate and reestablish, if needed, the boundaries and caseloads of the magisterial district courts within the judicial district.

Clinton County has three (3) magisterial district courts within the 25<sup>th</sup> Judicial District. After evaluating the caseload numbers and current boundaries, the Court proposes to reestablish (no change) those three (3) magisterial district courts.

The reestablishment plan for Clinton County will be available for thirty (30) days to allow for public comment. The plan can be viewed on the Clinton County Judiciary/Court Administration website ([www.clintoncountypa.gov](http://www.clintoncountypa.gov)) The plan will also be available in the Clinton County Courthouse and within the three (3) magisterial district courts.

Thirty (30) day public comment period will expire at the close of business on February 26, 2022. Public comments regarding the establishment plan can be mailed to the following address:

Reestablishment Proposal Public Comments  
C/O Don M. Powers, District Court Administrator  
Clinton County Courthouse  
230 East Water Street, Suite 200  
Lock Haven, PA 17745

Public comments can also be emailed to [dpowers@clintoncountypa.gov](mailto:dpowers@clintoncountypa.gov). All public comments must be in writing and must include the full name and address of the sender. Comments specific to the proposed plan(s) will be included with the final petition that is forwarded to the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania for approval.

#####

For questions or additional information contact:

Don M. Powers, Court Administrator  
230 E. Water St.  
Lock Haven, PA 17745  
570-893-4016

## Judicial District Summary Worksheet – Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheet in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint. Complete one worksheet or one for each county if you are a joint judicial district.

|   |    |                                  |          |                                |              |
|---|----|----------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| <b>Judicial District Number:</b>  | 25 | <b>County:</b>                   | Clinton  | <b>Class of County:</b>        | 6            |
| <p>1. List the existing magisterial districts in your judicial district (##-##-##):</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">25-3-01<br/>25-3-02<br/>25-3-03</p>   |    |                                  |          |                                |              |
| <b>Caseload Analysis</b>  |    |                                  |          |                                |              |
| 2. Average total caseloads:   |    | <i>Avg for Judicial District</i> |          | <i>Avg for Class of County</i> |              |
|   |    | A. 3,547                         | B. 3,230 |                                |              |
| 3. Compare the difference between the caseload average of your judicial district to the class of county.  |    | <i>Difference (2A - 2B)</i>      |          | <i>Ranking</i>                 | <i>Total</i> |
|   |    | 317                              |          | 18th                           | out of 24    |
| 4. Is your judicial district caseload average at the lower end of the caseload range when compared to the other judicial districts in your class of county? |    |                                  |          | No                             |              |
| <b>Proposed Actions</b>   |    |                                  |          |                                |              |
| 5. Are any magisterial districts proposed for reestablishment?  |    |                                  |          |                                | Yes          |
| <p>If YES, list the magisterial districts proposed for reestablishment (no changes).</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">25-3-01<br/>25-3-02<br/>25-3-03</p>  |    |                                  |          |                                |              |
| 6. Are any magisterial district proposed for realignment?   |    |                                  |          |                                | No           |
| <p>If YES, list the magisterial districts proposed for realignment (changes).</p>   |    |                                  |          |                                |              |
| 7. Are any magisterial districts proposed for elimination?  |    |                                  |          |                                | No           |
| <p>If YES, list the magisterial districts proposed for elimination.</p>   |    |                                  |          |                                |              |

| <b>Additional Workload Factors</b>   |      |
|--|------|
| 8. Do you have a night court operating within the judicial district?   | No   |
| 9. Do you have a central court within your judicial district?  | Yes  |
| 10. Do you have any special programs that will entail effort by the MDJs such as truancy programs or drug, DUI, veteran, or mental health diversion programs?<br><br>If YES, briefly explain the types of programs.<br><br>Clinton County has a mental health diversion program as well as a Teen Court both of which are overseen and presided over by the three (3) Magisterial District Judges on a rotating basis. | No   |
| <b>Final Checklist</b>   |      |
| 11. Was a request for public comment posted?   | Yes  |
| 12. Method of posting - electronic, physical copy, or both?  | Both |
| 13. Were media outlets notified?   | Yes  |
| 14. Were public comments received?   |      |
| 15. Did you include a copy of the posting and public comments in your submission?  | Yes  |
| 16. Did you complete summary worksheets for all magisterial districts?   | Yes  |
| 17. Did you include your petition and all supporting documentation, if applicable?   | Yes  |
| 18. Did you confer with the MDJs in your county?   | Yes  |
| <b>19. Additional Remarks</b>  |      |
|  |      |
| <b>Verification of Submission</b>  |      |
| 20. Date submitted to AOPC:  |      |
| 21. President Judge Name:  |      |
| <p>Signature _____</p>   |      |

## Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

|   |                                     |                                  |                                |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <b>Magisterial District Court Number:</b>   | 25-3-01                             | <b>County:</b>                   | Clinton                        |
| 1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish   |                                     | 2. Effective date: 1/1/2023      |                                |
| <b>Caseload Analysis</b>  |                                     |                                  |                                |
| 3. Average total caseloads:   | <i>Avg for Magisterial District</i> | <i>Avg for Judicial District</i> | <i>Avg for Class of County</i> |
|   | A. 4,054                            | B. 3,547                         | C. 3,230                       |
| 4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.   |                                     | <i>Difference (3A - 3B)</i>      | <i>Ranking Total</i>           |
|   |                                     | 507                              | 1st out of 3                   |
| 5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.   |                                     | <i>Difference (3A - 3C)</i>      | <i>% Above/Below</i>           |
|   |                                     | 824                              | +26 %                          |
| 6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <b>and</b> you are proposing to <b>reestablish</b> (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.   |                                     |                                  |                                |
| <b>Workload Analysis</b>  |                                     |                                  |                                |
| 7. Average total workloads:   | <i>Avg for Magisterial District</i> | <i>Avg for Judicial District</i> |                                |
|   | A. 32,567                           | B. 21,450                        |                                |
| 8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.  |                                     | <i>Difference (7A - 7B)</i>      | <i>% Above/Below</i>           |
|   |                                     | 11,117                           | +52 %                          |
| 9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload <b>and</b> you are proposing to <b>reestablish</b> this magisterial district, please explain ( <i>summarize your response from the plan</i> ) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges. |                                     |                                  |                                |
| <p>Magisterial District Judge Mills of Magisterial District 25-3-03 is solely responsible for Clinton County Central Court which helps to equalize workloads.</p>   |                                     |                                  |                                |

| <b>Magisterial District Information</b>   |                  |                             |                                  |
|---|------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:   |                  |                             |                                  |
| Keith G. Kibler   | 12/31/23         | 12/31/45                    |                                  |
| <i>Magisterial District Judge Name</i>  | <i>Birthdate</i> | <i>Term Expiration Date</i> | <i>Mandatory Retirement Date</i> |
| 11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:   |                  |                             |                                  |
| 301 Frederick Street, Suite 100<br>Lock Haven, PA 17745   |                  |                             |                                  |
| 12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?  |                  |                             | Yes                              |
| 13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?   |                  |                             | Yes                              |
| 14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?  |                  |                             | Yes                              |
| 15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If <b>YES</b> , please summarize your response below. |                  |                             | No/Not Sure                      |
| 16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.   |                  |                             |                                  |
| Lock Haven City, Lock Haven University, Mill Hall Police covering Castanea Township, Pine Creek Township, Woodward Township   |                  |                             |                                  |
| 17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.   |                  |                             |                                  |
| PA Route 220  |                  |                             |                                  |
| 18. List the <b>current</b> municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click <a href="#">HERE</a> for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.   |                  |                             |                                  |
| Allison Township, Avis Borough, City of Lock Haven, Castanea Township, Colebrook Township, Crawford Township, Dunnstable Township, Flemington Borough, Gallagher Township, Pine Creek Township, Wayne Township, Woodward Township                     |                  |                             |                                  |
| 19. Are the <b>proposed</b> municipalities the same as above?<br>If <b>NO</b> , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).   |                  |                             | Yes                              |
| <b>20. Additional Comments:</b>   |                  |                             |                                  |
| Municipal realignment of this Magisterial District is impossible due to the geography of Clinton County and the requirement that municipalities within a Magisterial District be contiguous.  |                  |                             |                                  |

## Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

|   |                                     |                                  |                                |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <b>Magisterial District Court Number:</b>   | 25-3-02                             | <b>County:</b>                   | Clinton                        |
| 1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish   |                                     | 2. Effective date: 1/1/2023      |                                |
| <b>Caseload Analysis</b>  |                                     |                                  |                                |
| 3. Average total caseloads:   | <i>Avg for Magisterial District</i> | <i>Avg for Judicial District</i> | <i>Avg for Class of County</i> |
|   | A. 5,924                            | B. 3,547                         | C. 3,230                       |
| 4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.   |                                     | <i>Difference (3A - 3B)</i>      | <i>Ranking Total</i>           |
|   |                                     | 2377                             | 2nd out of 3                   |
| 5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.   |                                     | <i>Difference (3A - 3C)</i>      | <i>% Above/Below</i>           |
|   |                                     | 2694                             | +83 %                          |
| 6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <b>and</b> you are proposing to <b>reestablish</b> (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.   |                                     |                                  |                                |
| <b>Workload Analysis</b>  |                                     |                                  |                                |
| 7. Average total workloads:   | <i>Avg for Magisterial District</i> | <i>Avg for Judicial District</i> |                                |
|   | A. 25,539                           | B. 21,450                        |                                |
| 8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.  |                                     | <i>Difference (7A - 7B)</i>      | <i>% Above/Below</i>           |
|   |                                     | 4,089                            | +19 %                          |
| 9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload <b>and</b> you are proposing to <b>reestablish</b> this magisterial district, please explain ( <i>summarize your response from the plan</i> ) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges. |                                     |                                  |                                |
| <p>Magisterial District Judge Mills of Magisterial District 25-3-03 is solely responsible for Clinton County Central Court which helps to equalize workloads.</p>   |                                     |                                  |                                |

## Magisterial District Information

### 10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:

John W. Maggs

12/31/23

12/31/34

*Magisterial District Judge Name*

*Birthdate*

*Term Expiration Date*

*Mandatory Retirement Date*

### 11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:

385 Beech Creek Ave  
P.O. Box 174  
Mill Hall, PA 17751

### 12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?

Yes

### 13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?

Yes

### 14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?

Yes

### 15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If **YES**, please summarize your response below.

No/Not Sure

### 16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.

Mill Hall Borough

### 17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.

Interstate 80, Pa Route 220

### 18. List the **current** municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click [HERE](#) for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.

Bald Eagle Township, Beech Creek Borough, Beech Creek Township, Greene Township, Lamar Township, Logan Township, Loganton Borough, Mill Hall Borough, Porter Township

### 19. Are the **proposed** municipalities the same as above? If **NO**, please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).

Yes

## 20. Additional Comments:

Municipal realignment of this Magisterial District is impossible due to the geography of Clinton County and the requirement that municipalities within a Magisterial District be contiguous.

## Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

|   |                                     |                                  |                                |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <b>Magisterial District Court Number:</b>   | 25-3-03                             | <b>County:</b>                   | Clinton                        |
| 1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish   |                                     | 2. Effective date: 1/1/2023      |                                |
| <b>Caseload Analysis</b>  |                                     |                                  |                                |
| 3. Average total caseloads:   | <i>Avg for Magisterial District</i> | <i>Avg for Judicial District</i> | <i>Avg for Class of County</i> |
|   | A. 669                              | B. 3,547                         | C. 3,230                       |
| 4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.   |                                     | <i>Difference (3A - 3B)</i>      | <i>Ranking Total</i>           |
|   |                                     | -2878                            | 3rd out of 3                   |
| 5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.   |                                     | <i>Difference (3A - 3C)</i>      | <i>% Above/Below</i>           |
|   |                                     | -2561                            | -79 %                          |
| <p>6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <b>and</b> you are proposing to <b>reestablish</b> (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">Realignment is impossible due to the geography of Clinton County and the requirement that all municipalities with the Magisterial District be contiguous</p>   |                                     |                                  |                                |
| <b>Workload Analysis</b>  |                                     |                                  |                                |
| 7. Average total workloads:   | <i>Avg for Magisterial District</i> | <i>Avg for Judicial District</i> |                                |
|   | A. 6,235                            | B. 21,450                        |                                |
| 8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.  |                                     | <i>Difference (7A - 7B)</i>      | <i>% Above/Below</i>           |
|   |                                     | -15,215                          | -71 %                          |
| <p>9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload <b>and</b> you are proposing to <b>reestablish</b> this magisterial district, please explain (<i>summarize your response from the plan</i>) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">Magisterial District Judge Mills of Magisterial District 25-3-03 is solely responsible for Clinton County Central Court which helps to equalize workloads.</p> |                                     |                                  |                                |



| Magisterial District Information  |                  |                             |                                  |
|---|------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:   |                  |                             |                                  |
| Frank P. Mills  | 12/31/23         | 12/31/42                    |                                  |
| <i>Magisterial District Judge Name</i>  | <i>Birthdate</i> | <i>Term Expiration Date</i> | <i>Mandatory Retirement Date</i> |
| 11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:   |                  |                             |                                  |
| 112 Ninth Street<br>Renovo, PA 17764  |                  |                             |                                  |
| 12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?  |                  |                             | Yes                              |
| 13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?   |                  |                             | Yes                              |
| 14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?  |                  |                             | Yes                              |
| 15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If <b>YES</b> , please summarize your response below. |                  |                             | Yes                              |
| Construction of a natural gas electrical generation plant is expected to produce over 500 jobs during construction.   |                  |                             |                                  |
| 16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.   |                  |                             |                                  |
| Renovo Borough  |                  |                             |                                  |
| 17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.   |                  |                             |                                  |
| 18. List the <b>current</b> municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click <a href="#">HERE</a> for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.   |                  |                             |                                  |
| Chapman Township, East Keating Township, Grugan Township, Leidy Township, Noyes Township, Renovo Borough, South Renovo Borough, West Keating Township   |                  |                             |                                  |
| 19. Are the <b>proposed</b> municipalities the same as above?<br>If <b>NO</b> , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).   |                  |                             | Yes                              |
| 20. Additional Comments:  |                  |                             |                                  |
|   |                  |                             |                                  |